# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHARLES MAILOT individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,

NO.

Plaintiff,

VS.

NEW JERSEY MANUFACTURERS INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

**COMPLAINT** 

Plaintiff CHARLES MAILOT, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys at Sadaka Associates, LLC allege against NEW JERSEY MANUFACTURERS INSURANCE COMPANY ("NJM") as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Class Action Complaint seeks compensation owed on behalf of every NJM employee who was not provided proper payment.
- 2. Through a common and uniform course of conduct, NJM willfully or negligently failed to pay its employees as promised.

#### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, CHARLES MAILOT ("Plaintiff") is currently a resident of the State of Florida and was a resident of the State of New Jersey when employed by NJM. He is also an affected wage-shortage employee of NJM described below.

- 4. Defendant NJM is and was incorporated in the State of New Jersey with its home office on Sullivan Way in West Trenton, New Jersey.
- 5. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant, NJM conducted business throughout New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Maryland, and Pennsylvania with employees residing in many of the same states.

#### **JURISDICTION**

6. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court as the prerequisites of minimal diversity and amount in controversy are met under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- 8. At all times relevant, NJM provided insurance for auto, home and property, renters', and umbrella insurance policies.
- 9. At all times relevant, none of NJM's approximately 2,500 employees were unionized.
- 10. In January of 2017, NJM transitioned from paying its employees on a semi-monthly basis to being paid every two weeks.
- 11. This payroll transition was carried out improperly and resulted in each NJM employee experiencing a 3.846% decrease in pay and associated benefits for 2017; that wage-shortage continues into the present.
- 12. This pay discrepancy was apparent beginning in January of 2017 when the payroll transition happened and was brought to the attention of NJM's Payroll and Human Resources departments soon thereafter by the Plaintiff, but no action was ever taken to correct it.

- 13. Plaintiff is no longer an employee of NJM and has never been properly compensated for the wage-shortage described herein.
- 14. No former or current NJM employee has been properly compensated for the wage-shortage described herein.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- 16. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other NJM employees who were employed before the payroll transition began (pre-2017) and continued to be employed after the payroll transition was completed.
- 17. This action is brought as a Class Action and may be so maintained as the numerosity, commonality, typically, and adequacy requirements for maintaining a Class Action under federal law are satisfied.
  - 18. The members of this Class are so numerous as to render joinder impracticable.
- 19. Joinder of every NJM employee is impracticable because of the large number of Class members and the fact that Class members are dispersed over a large geographic area, with many members, including the Plaintiff, currently residing outside of the State of New Jersey.
- 20. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class that predominate over any questions that effect only individual members of the Class.
- 21. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation, whether NJM paid its employees properly and no individual questions of fact exist for any member of the entire Class or any Subclass thereof.
- 22. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class and any Subclass thereof. All members of the Class are also fully ascertainable. The harms suffered by

the Plaintiff are typical of the harms suffered by the individual members of the Class because all have had their pay and benefits shorted in the same way and by 3.846% in the year of 2017.

- 23. The representative Plaintiff has the requisite personal interest in the outcome of this action and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all employees who have been shorted in the Class. Plaintiff has no interests that are averse to the interests of the members of the Class.
- 24. A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all of the affected NJM employees is impracticable, given the large number of Class members and the fact that they are dispersed over a large geographic area.
- 25. Furthermore, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it impossible for individual members of the Class to redress these violations on their own. The cost to the judicial system would also be enormous. Individualized litigation would also magnify the delay to all parties and the bog down the civil justice system unnecessarily.
- 26. The named Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience and success in the prosecution of Class Action and employment litigation claims. Plaintiff is represented by the Law Offices of Sadaka Associates, LLC. Plaintiff's counsel has the resources, expertise and experience to successfully prosecute the action against the Defendants. Upon information and belief, no conflict exists between the Plaintiff and members of the Class, or between counsel and members of the Class.
- 27. Upon information and belief, there are no other actions pending to address the named Defendants' conduct in shortening the wages of its employees.
- 28. As such, Plaintiff seeks Class Certification under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 in that the questions of law or fact common to all members of the Class or any Subclass thereof

predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a Class Action is far superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this Complaint.

### **COUNT I:** BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 29. All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and others similarly situated entered into either an at will or written contract for employment with NJM.
- 31. In return for work done and time spent working for NJM, and pursuant to either an at will employment agreement or a written employment contract, the named Plaintiff and others similarly situated were to be paid as promised.
- 32. NJM breached its employment agreement by failing to pay the Plaintiff and others similarly situated all the wages due for the benefits provided by them.
- 33. NJM failed to accurately pay the named Plaintiff and others similarly situated as described above.
- 34. The named Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been damaged due to the willful or negligent action or inaction of the NJM Group.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against NJM and requests compensatory damages for all unpaid wages and benefits, prejudgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper; and further, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

# **COUNT II:** BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT

35. All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

- 36. Plaintiff and others similarly situated entered into an implied employment agreement with NJM.
- 37. In return for work done for NJM, the named Plaintiff and others similarly situated were to be paid for the time that they worked.
- 38. NJM breached its duty to the Plaintiff and the Class or any Sub-Class thereof by failing to pay the Plaintiff and others similarly situated all the wages due to them.
- 39. The named Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been damaged due to the actions of the NJM Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against NJM and requests compensatory damages for all unpaid wages and benefits, prejudgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper; and further, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

# **COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY WAGE PAYMENT LAW**

- 40. All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.
- 41. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated entered into an at will or written agreement with NJM for employment in the State of New Jersey during the relevant time period.
- 42. NJM did not properly pay the agreed upon wages to the Plaintiff and all others similarly situated as described above.
- New Jersey's Wage Payment Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-4.1 et seq, allows for a private 43. right of action and class actions to collect those unpaid wages.

44. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated have been damaged as a result of NJM's conduct, and they demand their wages to be properly paid, with interest, costs of this action, and attorney's fees.

**WHEREFORE,** the Plaintiff demands judgment against NJM and requests compensatory damages for all unpaid wages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney's fees, and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper; and further, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Mark T. Sadaka\_

Mark T. Sadaka, Esq.

Michael H. Bowman, Esq.

SADAKA ASSOCIATES, LLC

155 North Dean Street, Suite 4-D

Englewood, NJ 07631

Tel: (201) 266-5670

Fax: (201) 266-5671

Email: mark@sadakafirm.com

Michael H. Bowman, Esq.

To be admitted *pro hac vice* 

SADAKA ASSOCIATES, LLC

155 North Dean Street, Suite 4-D

Englewood, NJ 07631

Tel: (201) 266-5670

Fax: (201) 266-5671

Email: mark@sadakafirm.com

Dated: August 5, 2020

# Case 3:20-cv-10068-MAS-ZNO Document 1 Filed 08/05/20 Page 8 of 8 PageID: 8 $^{\text{JS 44}}$ (Rev. 06/17) Page 8 of 8 PageID: 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC.	HONS ON NEXT THOE OF T	,	<u>,                                      </u>	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  CHARLES MAILOT			DEFENDANTS		
			NEW JERSEY MANUFACTURERS INSURANCE COMPANY		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant	Mercer County
			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
			NOTE: IN LAND CO	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE Τ Γ OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
	Address, and Telephone Number	r)	Attorneys (If Known)		
	Sadaka Associates Street, Suite 4-D				
Englewood, NJ					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)		1 1	rincipal Place 🗖 4 💢 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2 Incorporated and of Business In 2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY  2 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))  ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  ☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	<ul><li>☐ 460 Deportation</li><li>☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and</li></ul>
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud	LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange  3 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<ul><li>740 Railway Labor Act</li><li>751 Family and Medical</li></ul>	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
DEAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice		Leave Act	EEDED AT TAX CHITC	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	<ul><li></li></ul>	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	□ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence		26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
<ul><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li><li>290 All Other Real Property</li></ul>	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	-	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Employment  ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration	n	
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights	Actions		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -			
		Conditions of Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	Commenent			<u> </u>
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from $\Box$ 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transf	er District Litigation	
		tute under which you are f	iling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	stutes unless diversity):	sity- Breach of Contrac
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	use:			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : 🗖 Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 08/05/2020		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE